Burgess, K., Ho, K. K. & Pettitt, B. M. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 799-800.

Campbell, M. M., Horwell, D. C., Mahon, M. F., Pritchard, M. C. & Walford, S. P. (1993). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 3, 667--670.

Cativiela, C., Díaz-de-Villegas, M. D. & Jiménez, A. I. (1994). Tetrahedron, 50, 9157-9166.

- Cativiela, C., Díaz-de-Villegas, M. D. & Jiménez, A. I. (1995). Tetrahedron, 51, 3025-3032.
- Hoffman, N. E., Yang, S. F., Ichiara, A. & Sakamura, S. (1982). Plant Physiol. 70, 195-199.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1989). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1993). XSCANS Users Manual. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Stammer, C. H. (1990). Tetrahedron, 46, 2231-2254.

from which it is possible to synthesize quite a large variety of other monofluorinated products by substitution of the p-toluenesulfonate group (Toulgui, Chaabouni & Baklouti, 1990).



The identification of the two trans-2-fluorocycloalkyl *p*-toluenesulfonates, (I) and (II), was made from IR, ${}^{1}H$ NMR and ¹⁹F NMR spectra, and mass spectroscopy measurements. From dynamic ¹⁹F NMR, it was established that, in solution, the compounds adopt trans configurations; however, the spacial arrangement of the tosyl group relative to the fluorocycloalkyl moiety could not be determined without X-ray structure analysis. This investigation has shown that for the two title compounds, the more stable conformation is characterized by the longest distance between the F atoms and the O atoms of the sulfonyl moiety.

The average C-C distance is 1.378(8) Å in the aromatic rings of the two compounds and 1.511 (5) Å in the cyclohexyl group of compound (I). As described elsewhere (Dupont et al., 1991; Geetha & Rajan, 1991), some disorder was observed in the cycloheptyl group: one C-C bond distance (C6-C7) is 1.298 (15) Å, whereas the other six are comparable, in the range 1.487 (17)–1.521 (12) Å.



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1971) view of compound (I) with the atomic numbering and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2705-2707

trans-2-Fluorocyclohexyl p-Toluenesulfonate and trans-2-Fluorocycloheptyl *p*-Toluenesulfonate

ABDERRAHMAN YAKOUBI, AHMED KALLEL[†] AND ABDELMALEK BIZID

Laboratoire A. Kallel de Cristallographie Physique, Département de Physique, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

AHMED BAKLOUTI

Laboratoire de Chimie Structurale Organique. Département de Chimie, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

(Received 6 June 1994; accepted 19 June 1995)

Abstract

The title compounds, C13H17FO3S and C14H19FO3S, have trans configurations with long distances between the F and sulfonyl O atoms. Some disorder was found in the cycloheptyl group.

Comment

Both title compounds, trans-2-fluorocyclohexyl ptoluenesulfonate, (I), and trans-2-fluorocycloheptyl p-tolucnesulfonate, (II), are obtained by the action of tosyl chloride on trans-fluorohydrines (Baklouti & El-Gharbi, 1979). They constitute a class of compounds

[†] Deceased 24th October 1993.

In both compounds, the arrangement of the O atoms around the S atom is approximately tetrahedral; the bond angles and distances between this atom and those occupying the tetrahedral vertices are comparable (see Table 3). The C---S---O---C torsion angles are -76.4(2)and $72.5(5)^{\circ}$ for (I) and (II), respectively.

The 2-fluorocyclohexyl *p*-toluenesulfonate molecule is almost identical to that of cyclohexyl p-toluenesulfonate (James & McConnell, 1971).



Fig. 2. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1971) view of compound (II) with the atomic numbering. Displacement ellipsoids and H atoms as in Fig. 1.

Experimental

Compound (I)

Crystal data C₁₃H₁₇FO₃S $M_r = 272.3$ Monoclinic $P2_1$ a = 7.346(1) Å b = 5.870(2) Å c = 15.959(3) Å $\beta = 99.64(1)^{\circ}$ $V = 678.4 (5) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.33 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 3882 measured reflections 1454 independent reflections 1284 observed reflections $[I > 2.5\sigma(I)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.029wR = 0.032

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 5.2 - 14.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.24 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 300 KPrism $0.60\,\times\,0.28\,\times\,0.14$ mm White

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.025$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 50 reflections intensity decay: none

$$w = \frac{1.27}{[\sigma^2(F) + 0.00038F^2]}$$
$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.31$$

и

$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none
Atomic scattering fac-
tors from SHELX76
(Sheldrick, 1976)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$) for (I)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	Z	U_{eq}
0.2837 (3)	0.3013 (5)	0.3025 (1)	0.095 (2)
0.6652 (1)	0.6190	0.2252	0.058 (4)
0.4544 (2)	0.5713 (3)	0.1940 (1)	0.059 (1)
0.7724 (3)	0.4857 (5)	0.1769 (1)	0.072 (1)
0.6781 (3)	0.8612 (4)	0.2238 (2)	0.085 (2)
0.8148 (5)	0.2973 (10)	0.5888 (2)	0.085 (3)
0.3927 (3)	0.3374 (5)	0.1728 (2)	0.047 (1)
0.2272 (4)	0.2969 (6)	0.2140 (2)	0.057 (1)
0.1418 (5)	0.0660 (6)	0.1899 (2)	0.064 (2)
0.0908 (5)	0.0458 (8)	0.0942 (2)	0.077 (2)
0.2575 (6)	0.0833 (8)	0.0529 (2)	0.079 (2)
0.3446 (6)	0.3147 (8)	0.0770 (2)	0.073 (2)
0.7064 (3)	0.5251 (5)	0.3307 (2)	0.051 (2)
0.7870 (4)	0.3155 (5)	0.3507 (2)	0.058 (2)
0.8195 (4)	0.2453 (6)	0.4340 (2)	0.061 (2)
0.7728 (3)	0.3780 (6)	0.4987 (2)	0.058 (2)
0.6905 (4)	0.5854 (6)	0.4768 (2)	0.066 (2)
0.6568 (4)	0.6610 (5)	0.3943 (2)	0.061 (2)

Compound (II)

Crystal data $C_{14}H_{19}FO_3S$ $M_r = 286.37$ Orthorhombic $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$ a = 6.022 (1) Åb = 7.319(1) Å c = 32.279 (5) Å V = 1422.7 (7) Å³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.33 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 3472 measured reflections 1197 independent reflections 819 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F R = 0.044wR = 0.046S = 1.6819 reflections 194 parameters Only coordinates of H atoms refined

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 4.4 - 13.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 300 KTrapezoidal $0.35 \times 0.15 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$ White

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.02$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 26^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 35$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 50 reflections intensity decay: none

 $w = 1.69 / [\sigma^2(F)]$ $+ 0.00052F^{2}$] $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.18$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976)

 Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²) for (II)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
F	-0.1442 (9)	0.4238 (6)	0.0957 (1)	0.119 (4)
S	0.1995 (3)	0.0120 (3)	0.1285 (1)	0.065 (1)
01	0.1352 (8)	0.2062 (6)	0.1442 (1)	0.076 (3)
02	0.0905 (9)	-0.1231 (7)	0.1530 (2)	0.086 (4)
03	0.4350 (7)	0.0138 (8)	0.1269 (2)	0.093 (4)
C0	-0.1610 (17)	-0.0423 (11)	-0.0457 (3)	0.093 (6)
Cl	-0.0989 (13)	0.2475 (10)	0.1544 (2)	0.077 (5)
C2	-0.1385 (15)	0.4388 (10)	0.1389 (2)	0.076 (6)
C3	-0.3578 (13)	0.5215 (12)	0.1529 (2)	0.085 (6)
C4	-0.3555 (20)	0.6021 (13)	0.1956 (3)	0.103 (7)
C5	-0.3466 (18)	0.4707 (16)	0.2309 (3)	0.109 (7)
C6	-0.1713 (24)	0.3278 (16)	0.2297 (3)	0.142 (8)
C7	-0.1403 (26)	0.2141 (16)	0.1994 (3)	0.163 (9)
C61	0.0951 (10)	0.0002 (8)	0.0777 (2)	0.054 (3)
C62	-0.1099 (12)	-0.0784 (9)	0.0706 (2)	0.066 (5)
C63	-0.1889 (14)	-0.0883 (10)	0.0309 (3)	0.073 (5)
C64	-0.0690 (12)	-0.0231 (9)	-0.0025 (2)	0.068 (5)
C65	0.1354 (13)	0.0556 (9)	0.0057 (2)	0.055 (6)
C66	0.2189 (12)	0.0684 (8)	0.0451 (2)	0.059 (4)

Table 3. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles	(°)	ir
compounds (I) and (II)		

	(I)	(II)
S-01	1.570 (2)	1.558 (5)
S-02	1.424 (2)	1.428 (6)
S-03	1.426 (3)	1,419 (4)
S-C61	1.749 (3)	1.758 (7)
FC2	1.405 (3)	1.399 (7)
C61—C62	1.380 (4)	1 381 (9)
C_{62} - C_{63}	1 374 (4)	1 369 (12)
C63—C64	1 381 (4)	1 383 (13)
C64—C65	1.378 (5)	1 385 (11)
C65-C66	1.371 (5)	1.305 (11)
C66—C61	1.371(3)	1 387 (9)
CO-C64	1.496 (5)	1.502(9)
	1.468 (3)	1.307 (14)
$C_1 - C_2$	1.405 (4)	1.479 (9)
$C_{1}^{2} - C_{3}^{2}$	1.495 (4)	1.500 (10)
$C_2 = C_3$	1.515 (4)	1.321(12)
C4_C5	1.515 (4)	1.499 (14)
C4C5	1.501 (0)	1.492 (10)
C5C0	1.525 (0)	1.467 (17)
	1.310 (4)	-
	-	1.298 (15)
	-	1.494 (12)
01S02	109.6 (1)	109.7 (3)
O2—S—O3	119.7 (2)	119.0 (4)
03—S—01	103.8 (1)	104.6 (4)
C61—S—O1	104.6 (1)	105.0 (3)
C61—S—O2	109.0 (1)	108.6 (3)
C61—S—O3	109.1 (2)	109.0 (3)
S-01-C1	119.4 (2)	119.7 (5)
S-C61-C62	120.2 (2)	119.6 (6)
S-C61-C66	120.0 (2)	119.9 (5)
C62—C61—C66	119.9 (3)	120.5 (7)
C61—C62—C63	119.4 (3)	119.1 (7)
C62—C63—C64	121.9 (3)	122.0 (7)
C63—C64—C65	117.5 (3)	117.3 (7)
C64—C65—C66	122.0 (3)	122.1 (7)
C65—C66—C61	119.3 (3)	118.9 (7)
C0-C64-C63	120.1 (3)	119.8 (7)
C0-C64-C65	122.3 (3)	122.8 (8)
O1-C1-C2	106.9 (2)	105.5 (6)
F	108 3 (2)	105.2 (5)
F-C2-C3	108.2 (3)	107.8 (5)
(1-(2)-(3))	1113(2)	1141(7)
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	110.3 (3)	1149 (7)
C3-C4-C5	110.5(3)	1167 (9)
C4C5C6	111.0 (3)	1173 (0)
C_{-}^{-}	1105(3)	- (7)
	110.5 (5)	-

01—C1—C6	109.0 (2)	-
C2-C1-C6	111.1 (2)	-
01-C1-C7	-	110.1 (7)
C5-C6-C7		125.0 (11)
C6-C7-C1	-	130.7 (9)
C7—C1—C2	-	116.6 (7)

The authors thank the Deutsche Akademische Austauschdient for financial support, J. W. Bats for help during data collection, and H. Fueb for helpful discussions.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry, along with unit-cell diagrams have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1200). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Baklouti, A. & El-Gharbi, R. (1979). J. Fluorine Chem. 13, 297-314. Dupont, L., Didberg, O., Masereel, B., Delarge, J., Schynts, M. &
- Pirotte, B. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2152-2156. Enraf-Nonius (1982). SDP. Structure Determination Package. Enraf-
- Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Geetha, V. & Rajan, S. S. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1114-1115.
- James, V. J. & McConnell, J. F. (1971). Tetrahedron, 27, 5475-5480.
- Johnson, C. K. (1971). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-3794, revised. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.

Toulgui, C., Chaabouni, M. M. & Baklouti, A. (1990). J. Fluorine Chem. 46, 385–391.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 2707-2710

N-Acetyl-3,6-di-*tert*-butyl-2-(3,6-di-*tert*-butyl-3*H*-azepin-2-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1*H*-azepine

Kyosuke Satake, Takayuki Hattori, Masaru Kimura and Setsuo Kashino

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Tsushima-Naka 3-1-1, Okayama 700, Japan

(Received 16 May 1995; accepted 28 June 1995)

Abstract

The deprotonation reaction of 3,6-di-*tert*-butyl-3H-azepine, (2), using methyllithium, and subsequent quenching of the resulting anionic species with acetyl